OFFICIAL FILE

I.C.C DOCKETNO. CO-0692

Staff Exhibit No. 1

Date 11-16-00 Reporter TR

# STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY (Ameritach: Illinois)	)
and ESSEX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A ELEC COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	) ) 00-0692
Joint Petition for Approval of Negotiated Interconnection Agreement dated August16, 2000, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252	) ) )

## **VERIFIED STATEMENT OF A. OLUSANJO OMONIYI**

My name is A. Olusanjo Omoniyi and I am employed by the Illinois Commerce Commission as a Policy Analyst in the Telecommunications Division. I graduated from Southern Illinois University at Carbondale with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Cinema & Photography and Bachelor of Science degree in Radio-Television in 1987. In 1990, I obtained a Master of Arts degree in Telecommunications and a Juris Doctor in 1994 also from Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. Among my duties as a Policy Analyst is to review negotiated agreements and provide a recommendation as to their approval.

## SYNOPSIS OF THE AGREEMENT

The instant agreement between ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

("AMERITECH ILLINOIS" or "Carrier") and ESSEX COMMUNICATIONS; INC.

("ESSEX" or "Requesting Carrier"), dated August 16, 2000 expires October 30, 2001.

It is automatically renewable unless a party delivers to the other party written notice of termination of the Agreement at least one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to the expiration of the term. Also, the agreement establishes the financial and operational

terms for: the physical interconnection between AMERITECH ILLINOIS and ESSEX networks on access to rights of way and databases; unbundled access to AMERITECH ILLINOIS' network elements, including AMERITECH ILLINOIS' operations support systems functions; collocation; number portability; resale; and a variety of other business relationships.

The purpose of my verified statement is to examine the agreement based on the standards enunciated in section 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act. Specifically, this section states that:

- The State commission may only reject an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that:
- (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

## APPROVAL UNDER SECTION 252(e)

### A. DISCRIMINATION

The first issue that must be addressed by the Commission in approving or rejecting a negotiated agreement under Section 252(e)(2)(A) is whether it discriminates against a telecommunications carrier that is not a party to the agreement.

Discrimination is generally defined as giving preferential treatment. In previous dockets, Staff has taken the position that in order to determine if a negotiated agreement is discriminatory, the Commission should determine if all similarly situated carriers are allowed to purchase the service under the same terms and conditions as provided in the agreement. I recommend that the Commission use the same approach when evaluating this negotiated agreement.

A carrier should be deemed to be a similarly situated carrier for purposes of this agreement if telecommunications traffic is exchanged between itself and AMERITECH ILLINOIS termination on each other's networks and if it imposes costs on AMERITECH ILLINOIS that are no higher than the costs imposed by ESSEX. If a similarly situated carrier is allowed to purchase the service(s) under the same terms and conditions as provided in this contract, then this contract should not be considered discriminatory. Evaluating the term discrimination in this manner is consistent with the economic theory of discrimination. Economic theory defines discrimination as the practice of charging different prices (or the same prices) for various units of a single product when the price differences (or same prices) are not justified by cost. See, Dolan, Edwin G. and David E. Lindsey, Microeconomics, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, The Dryden Press, Orlando, FL (1991) at pg. 586. Since Section 252(i) of the 1996 Act allows similarly situated carriers to enter into essentially the same contract, this agreement should not be deemed discriminatory.

### B. PUBLIC INTEREST

The second issue that needs to be addressed by the Commission in approving or rejecting a negotiated agreement under Section 252(e)(2)(A) is whether it is contrary to the public interest, convenience, and necessity. I recommend that the Commission examine the agreement on the basis of economic efficiency, equity, past Commission orders, and state and federal law to determine if the agreement is consistent with the public interest.

In previous dockets, Staff took the position that negotiated agreements should be considered economically efficient if the services are priced at or above their Long Run Service Incremental Costs ("LRSICs"). Requiring that a service be priced at or above its LRSIC ensures that the service is not being subsidized and complies with the Commission's pricing policy. All of the services in this agreement are priced at or above their respective LRSICs. Therefore, this agreement should not be considered economically inefficient.

Nothing in this agreement leads me to the conclusion that the agreement is inequitable, inconsistent with past Commission Orders, or in violation of state or federal law. Therefore, I recommend that the Commission approve this agreement.

#### II IMPLEMENTATION

In order to implement the AMERITECH ILLINOIS-ESSEX agreement, the Commission should require AMERITECH ILLINOIS to, within five (5) days from the date the agreement is approved, modify its tariffs to reference the negotiated agreement for each service. Such a requirement is consistent with the Commission's Orders in previous negotiated agreement dockets and allows interested parties access to the agreement. The following sections of AMERITECH ILLINOIS' tariffs should reference the AMERITECH ILLINOIS-ESSEX Agreement: Agreements with Telecommunications Carriers (ICC No. 21 Section 19.15).

Also, in order to assure that the implementation of the Agreement is in public interest, AMERITECH ILLINOIS should implement the Agreement by filing a verified statement with the Chief Clerk of the Commission, within five (5) days of approval by the Commission, that the approved Agreement is the same as the Agreement filed in this docket with the verified petition; the Chief Clerk should place the Agreement on the Commission's web site under Interconnection Agreements.

For the reasons enumerated above, I recommend that the Commission approve this agreement pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

r. 00

**VERIFICATION** 

STATE OF ILLINOIS ) SS COUNTY OF SANGAMON )

I, A. Olusanjo Omoniyi, do on oath depose and state that if called as a witness herein, I would testify to the facts contained in the foregoing document based upon personal knowledge.

SIGNED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 13

DAY OF

NOTARY PURILC

OFFICIAL SEAL
LAURA H. YOUNG
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 7-92-2001

SERVICE LIST 00-0692.....JEW

November 14, 2000

Donna Caton Chief Clerk Illinois Commerce Commission 527 E. Capitol Avenue Springfield, IL 62701

Mary Beth Jorgensen Attorney Illinois Bell Telephone Company 225 W. Randolph Street, HQ27C Chicago, IL 60606

Theresa P. Larkin Illinois Bell Telephone Company 555 E. Cook Street, FL. 1E Springfield, IL. 62721 Deboreh King
Hearing Examiner
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, IL 60601

Mark K. Kerber Illinois Bell Telephone Company 225 W. Randolph Street, FL 29B Chicago, IL 60601

Document Processor
Essex Communications, Inc..
National Registered Agents, Inc.
208 S. LaSalle Street, STE. 1855
Chicago, il. 60601

James E. Weging
Office of General Counsel
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSaile Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, IL. 60601

Kevin Stoltz Essex Communications, Inc. 6355 Metrowest Blvd., Ste. 450 Orlando, FL 32811

A. Olusanjo Omoniyi Telecommunications Division Illinois Commerce Commission 527 E. Capitol Avenue Springfield, IL. 62701